

1907.	TURKEY	11 Dec. 20
Jerusalem, March 27	No.	Received by <i>post</i> .
Acting Consul Freeman No. 7.	(Subject.) <u>Lady Margaret Stuart</u> Authorities still refuse to demolish certain shops in garden opposite property of.	
Last Paper.	(Minutes.) <i>Lt H. H. Maxwell</i> See Mr. H. H. Maxwell's No 64 of April 20 th 1907	
(Print.)	(How disposed of.)	
(Action completed.)	(Index.)	
Next Paper.		

W B & L (140) - 30004 - 5000 10-5
40005 - 15,000 7-0

Jerusalem,

March 27, 1907.

No. 7.

Sir,

With reference to Mr. Dilley's despatch of
November 22 last and your Excellency's -
telegram of the 6th ultimo, I have the honour

to report that I have made repeated but, I
regret to say, unsuccessful attempts to -
obtain the full execution of the last orders
sent by the Minister of the Interior for the
demolition of certain shops in the municipal
garden facing the property of Lady Margaret

Stuart

His Excellency

The Right Honourable

Sir N. R. O'Connor, G.C.B.,

4c,

4c,

4c,

Constantinople.

Despatch :-
Minister of Interior
Jerusalem, April 19
May 2, 1905 No. 18;
translation of ditto

stuart. The Radi, who was acting as —
Governor when the orders in question
were received, replied that the previous
orders (of which the last were a —
confirmation) had been fully carried
out; and the present Governor, though
admitting that the back and side —
walls of the shop at the north-east
corner of the garden are still standing
and that consequently the Minister's
orders have not been obeyed to the letter,
feels unable to take a step which would
disprove the Radi's statement. His
Excellency has also shown me a
letter in which the Minister of the
Interior

22
Interior implies that His Majesty's Embassy
undertook to suggest to Lady Margaret
Stuart that she might waive her claim
in part. I have the honour to inclose an
extract from the letter with a translation.
I showed the Governor, when we last discussed
the question, some of the photographs of the
garden and shops which I took last year,
and repeated to him the arguments —
summarised in my despatch to Mr.
Barclay No. 51 of October 17 last, including
the emphatic denial given by Lady —
Margaret's agents in Edinburgh of the
allegation that her ladyship had ever —
been disposed to waive her claim. His

Excellency

